



Sh. Surjit Singh Aujla,  
Village-Aujla Dhak, PO-Lidhar Kalan,  
Distt. Jalandhar.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Jalandhar.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.7/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
11.10.2018	Nil	Nil	Nil	11.12.2018

**Present:** Complainant- Sh. Surjit Singh Aujla.  
Respondent- None.

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conferencing.**

The following order was passed by the bench on 20.03.2019:

*“The complainant is absent. Sh. Nachhtar Singh appearing on behalf of the respondents says that the complainant has been duly informed and he has given in writing that he is not interested to pursue the case. The respondents are directed to file a written reply along with communication sent to them by the complainant before the next date of hearing.”*

The case is being again considered today. The complainant admits having received the information. However, he is questioning the validity of the documents certified by the Panchayat Secretary. According to him, it should have been verified by the BDPO. The Commission has gone through the file. It finds that the information has been transferred by the

Contd....page...2



-2-

**Complaint Case No.7/2019**

Panchayat Secretary in his own hand. The Commission maintains that being a deemed PIO and custodian of record, he is authorized to certify the documents. The objection of the complainant as such is not sustainable. The information sought with regard to the various grants received by the Gram Panchayat and the details of the expenses made, has been furnished to him. The Commission is convinced that complete information has been given. No further action on the complaint is called for.

**Disposed.**

**04.09.2019**

**(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**